

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/828,506	04/06/2001	Owen Lynn	VIRAGE.033A	6821
20995	7590 08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			TO, BAOQUOC N	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614			2162	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/828,506	LYNN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Baoquoc N. To	2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 36-47,52-60 and 63-64 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 36-41 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 42-47,52-60,63 and 64 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration.  election requirement.	≣xaminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Extended in the contraction is objected to by the Extended in the contraction is objected to by the Extended in the contraction is objected to by the Extended in the contraction is objected to by the Extended in the contraction is objected to by the Extended in the contraction is objected to by the Extended in the contraction is objected in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction is objected in the contraction in th	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/828,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 48-51 and 61-62 are canceled and claim 42 is in the amendment filed 08/14/2006. Claims 36-41 are previously allowed. Claims 42-47, 52-60 and 63-64 are pending in this application.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 42 and 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 42-47, 52-60 and 63-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being by Hoffert et al. (US. Patent No. 6,370,543 B2)

Regarding on claim 42, Hoffert teaches a method of video spidering, comprising: dynamically identifying a script associated with at least one video on a packet switched network, wherein the script comprises a executable software program ((a new

Application/Control Number: 09/828,506

Art Unit: 2162

site is found by the crawler, the crawler parsed the java script to identify the video content) (col. 4, line 28);

parsing the identified script associated with the video (the java script is parsed to identify the video or media content) (col. 3, lines 45-51);

Executing the parsed script to identify a container file (in order to identify video or media, the java script need to be executed) (col. 4, line 28);

Parsing the identified container file (parsing the URL to identify the video or media) (col. 5, lines 3-6);

Evaluating the parsed container file to identify a location identifier of video content (the URL identify the location of the video or media) (col. 5, lines 3-6); and

Storing the location identifier associated with the video content (stored the video or media with unique URL) (col. 5, lines 3-6).

Regarding on claim 43, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 42, wherein evaluating the parsed container file comprises excluding advertising content (only video or media URLs are required to parsed) (col. 5, lines 3-6).

Regarding on claim 44, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 42, wherein additionally comprising launching video content for playback on a visual display according to the location identifier (all stream video or media is allowed play back) (col. 55-67).

Regarding on claim 45, Hoffert teaches the method defined in claim 44, wherein launching the content comprises invoking a specified coded video player of a site containing the identified video based on the location identifier (col. 5, lines 3-6).

Application/Control Number: 09/828,506

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding on claim 46, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 44, wherein the script is programmed in Java script or Visual Basic script (java script) (col. 4, lines 28).

Regarding on claim 47, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 42, wherein the location identifier is a video uniform resource locator (URL) (col. 5, lines 3-6).

Regarding on claim 59, the method defined in claim 42, wherein the script is executed by a processor (java script is an application which required processor to executed) (col. 4, line 28).

Regarding on claim 60, the method defined in claim 42, wherein the script is executed by browser applet (internet web browser) (col. 2, line 62).

Regarding on claim 52, Hoffert teaches a method of video spidering, comprising: dynamically identifying a script associated with at least one video on a network, wherein the script comprises a software program (a new site is found by the crawler, the crawler parsed the java script to identify the video content) (col. 4, line 28);

parsing the identified script associated with the video (each new page which is parsed is searched for media file references) (col. 3, lines 33-34);

Executing the parsed script to identify content (each of the media for example video or audio which required special application to execute in order to allow the parser to parse the locate the contain) (col. 3, lines 48-51));

Grouping together differently encoded versions of the content (all of video or audio are group together according to the parser) (fig. 1);

Application/Control Number: 09/828,506

Art Unit: 2162

selectively indexing the grouped versions of the content (all video are grouped) (fig. 1);

Obtaining a location identifier associated with the content (each of the video has a specific URL address or the extension of parent address plus the location of the file) (col. 10-13); and

Storing the location identifier (indexes for the URL is created and stored) (col. 4, lines 15-16).

Regarding on claim 53, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 52, wherein the script is programmed in Java script or Visual Basic script (java) (col.4, line 28).

Regarding on claim 54, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 52, additionally comprising launching the identified content for playback on a visual display according to the location identifier (all video or media allow playback mechanism) (col. 6, lines 55-67).

Regarding on claim 55, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 54, wherein launching the identified content comprises invoking a specific coded video player of a site containing the identified video (coded video require specific video player for playback) (col. 6, lines 55-67).

Regarding on claim 56, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 52, wherein the differently encoded versions of the content vary by bit rate (col. 9, lines 20-40).

Regarding on claim 57, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 52, wherein the differently encoded versions of the content vary by video player format (col. 9, lines 20-40).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding on claim 58, Hoffert teaches the method recited in claim 52, wherein the selectively indexing comprises applying a selection criterion to select one best differently encoded version of the content (categorizing different video content) (col. 4, lines 50-52).

Regarding on claim 63, Hoffert teaches the method defined in claim 42, wherein the script is executed by a processor (java script is an application which required processor to executed) (col. 4, line 28).

Regarding on claim 64, Hoffert teaches the method defined in claim 42, wherein the script is executed by browser applet (internet web browser) (col. 2, line 62).

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 36-41 are allow over the prior art made of records.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claim 36, None of the known prior art neither teaches or suggests "
a uniqueness check process configured to check the generated location identifier
against the known location identifiers, and eliminate the generated location identifier if it
is not unique or predetermined properties have not changed in reference to a known
location identifier in the storage; a group process configured to group together
differently encoded versions of the video content varying by bit rate or player format,
and apply a selection criterion to select one best differently encoded version of the
video content; and a harvesting process configured to generate a time-based index of
the one best differently coded version of the video content, and storing a location

Application/Control Number: 09/828,506 Page 7

Art Unit: 2162

identifier, corresponding to the indexed video, in the storage as a known location identifier" and in conjunction with "a spidering process configured to dynamically identify a script associated with at least one video on a network, parse the script associated with the video, execute the parsed script to identify video content, and evaluate the executed script to generate a location identifier of the video content; a storage configured to store known location identifiers;"

As to claim 37, None of known prior art alone of in combination neither teaches nor suggests "generating a time-based index of the video, wherein the time-based index is

generated by determining an absolute time from the beginning of the video, comprising adding a delta time, the delta time representing the time from the beginning of the video to the time when metadata capture begins, to a timecode of the metadata" and in conjunction with "traversing a set of hyperlinked documents by following the hyperlinks from one page to the next so ms to identify existence of digital video; identifying multiple versions of a video prior to indexing; and storing the time-based index in a repository along with a hyperlinked location identifier associated with the video being indexed."

Claims 38-41 are depended on claim 37, therefore, they are allowed under the same reason as claim 37.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041, or unofficial fax number for the purpose of discussion (571) 273-4041 or via e-

Art Unit: 2162

mail BaoquocN.To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(571) –273-8300

[Official Communication]

**BQ** To

August 28th, 2006

Drimmy Examiner